



### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/386,824	08/31/1999	YASUJI OTA	FUJO-16.446	1838
7	590 07/16/2002			
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			EXAMINER	
575 MADISON NEW YORK, 1			AZAD, ABUL K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

1915

		A-G	2		
• • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/386,824	OTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ABUL K. AZAD	2654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u> April 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.				
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>	· ·	• •			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.4404.3.43340			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	1).		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	* *				
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on April 18, 20002.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are pending in this action. Claims 1-18 have been amended.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ozawa (US 5,963,896).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 1, Ozawa teaches, "a voice coding method based on analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization using a code book containing a voice source code vector having only a plurality of non-zero amplitude values," comprising the step of:

"using a configuration variable code book containing a voice source code vector having only a plurality of non-zero amplitude values" (col. 3, lines 8-24; M non-zero amplitude pulse)

"variably controlling a position of a sample of the non-zero amplitude value in the configuration variable code book using an index and a transmission parameter indicating a feature amount of the voice" (col. 3, lines 8-24).

As per claim 2, Ozawa teaches, "variably controlling the position of the sample of the non-zero amplitude value in the configuration variable code book using the index and a lag value corresponding to a pitch period which is transmission parameter indicating the feature amount of voice" (col. 3, lines 25-62).

As per claim 3, Ozawa teaches, "reconstructing the position of the sample of the non-zero amplitude value within a region corresponding value in the configuration variable code book within a region corresponding to the lag value depending on the pitch gin value" (col. 17, line 50 to col. 18, line 58).

As per claims 4-18, they have similar limitations as claim 1-3, so claims 4-18 are also rejected for the same reasons.

Art Unit: 2654

## Response to Arguments

6. The applicant argues as: "applicant's inventive method and in sharp contrast to prior algebraic codebook-based methods, configuration variable codebook 1 or 1' does not change the number of involved non-zero samples".

In response to above arguments references do teach to change the number of non-zero sample at Ozawa col. 3, 8-24, reads on "M non-zero amplitude pulses, obtained a sample position corresponding to a pulse position meeting a predetermined condition with respect to the computed pitch prediction signal, set as pulse position retrieved range on the basis of position obtained by predetermined number of samples, retrieves a best position in the pulse position retrieval range thus set, and outputs data of the retrieved best position".

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2654

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3838.** 

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold, can be reached at (703) 305-4379.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

(For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")
Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal
Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center's Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Abul K. Azad

July 15, 2002

DAVID D. KNEPPER PRIMARY EXAMINER